July 19, 1989

Robert E. Leidigh Olson, Connelly, Hagel & Fong 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814

> Re: Your Request For Advice Our File No. A-89-390

Dear Mr. Leidigh:

You have requested confirmation of telephone advice I provided you concerning the campaign provisions of the Political Reform Act.

Your letter dated June 28, 1989, correctly summarizes my advice that your client, the California Newspaper Publishers Association is not required to register and file campaign statements as a "recipient committee" when it makes contributions out of funds other than membership dues. Such funds are received by the association through the sales of advertisements and from various convention activities. Since such funds are not "contributions" received by the association, the association will not meet the definition of a recipient committee when it makes contributions from those funds. Rather, it must file as a "major donor committee" if it makes contributions totaling \$10,000 or more in a calendar year.

We note that our advice would change if the association were to use membership dues to make contributions. (Macklin Advice Letter, No. A-85-235.)

Please call me at (916) 322-5662 if you have additional questions.

Sincerely,

Kathryn E. Donovan General Counsel

By: Jeanne Pritchard
Division Chief
Technical Assistance and
Analysis Division

July 6, 1989

Robert E. Leidigh Olson, Connelly, Hagel & Fong 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Letter No. 89-390

Dear Mr. Leidigh:

We received your letter requesting confirmation of advice under the Political Reform Act on June 30, 1989. Your letter has been assigned to our Technical Assistance and Analysis Division for response. If you have any questions, you may contact that division directly at (916) 322-5662.

If the letter is appropriate for confirmation without further analysis, we will attempt to expedite our response. A confirming response will be released after it has gone through our approval process. If the letter is not appropriate for this treatment, the staff person assigned to prepare the response will contact you shortly to advise you. In such cases, the normal analysis, review and approval process will be followed.

You should be aware that your letter and our response are public records which may be disclosed to any interested person upon receipt of a proper request for disclosure.

Sincerely,

Kathryn E. Donovan General Counsel

Karney E. tronoran

KED:plh:confadv1



California Fair Political Practices Commission

July 6, 1989

Robert E. Leidigh Olson, Connelly, Hagel & Fong 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Letter No. 89-390

Dear Mr. Leidigh:

We received your letter requesting confirmation of advice under the Political Reform Act on June 30, 1989. Your letter has been assigned to our Technical Assistance and Analysis Division for response. If you have any questions, you may contact that division directly at (916) 322-5662.

If the letter is appropriate for confirmation without further analysis, we will attempt to expedite our response. A confirming response will be released after it has gone through our approval process. If the letter is not appropriate for this treatment, the staff person assigned to prepare the response will contact you shortly to advise you. In such cases, the normal analysis, review and approval process will be followed.

You should be aware that your letter and our response are public records which may be disclosed to any interested person upon receipt of a proper request for disclosure.

Sincerely,

Kathryn E. Donovan General Counsel

Katheron E. Bonovan

KED:plh:confadv1

Law Offices of OLSON, CONNELLY, HAGEL & FONG

June 30, 1989

Jun 33 1/18 1/1

Jeanne Pritchard, Chief Technical Assistance & Analysis Division FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 428 "J" Street, Suite 800 Sacramento, California 95814

HAND DELIVERED

RE: CONFIRMATION OF TELEPHONE ADVICE; FORMAL WRITTEN ADVICE

Dear Ms. Pritchard:

This letter is written to obtain formal written advice confirming telephone advice which you rendered to me on June 28, 1989. The question involves the issue of "earmarked" contributions and commingling under Regulation 18215(c).

FACTS

The California Newspaper Publishers Association ("CNPA") is a membership organization with over 250 dues paying members. It has annual revenues of nearly \$1 million. Approximately \$150,000 of that is "unrelated business income." This money is earned through the sales of advertisements and from various convention activities. CNPA is a non-profit tax-exempt organization; however, because it has "unrelated business income" it must maintain careful books and pays taxes on that income. However, the revenues received by CNPA are all deposited into one bank account.

LANCE H. OLSON BRUCE J. HAGEL LEROY Y. FONG ROBERT E. LEIDIGH

OF COUNSEL
LLOYD G. CONNELLY, Member
California State Legislature

CNPA has made contributions out of its "unrelated business income" in past years, although not enough to require filing as a major donor. These contributions have been made from "unrelated business income" funds entirely and have never been treated as coming from its membership dues. This has been done for tax purposes as well, since the membership dues are not to be used for making contributions for fear of jeopardizing the tax exempt status of the dues.

QUESTION

I asked you the following question:

Under the facts as stated and the <u>Willmarth</u> Opinion, 2 FPPC Opinions 130 (No. 75-188; August 3,

300 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, California 95814 TELEPHONE: (916) 442-2952 FAX: (916) 442-1280

Law Offices of OLSON, CONNELLY, HAGEL & FONG

June 30, 1989

Jen 30 11 18 11

Jeanne Pritchard, Chief Technical Assistance & Analysis Division FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 428 "J" Street, Suite 800 Sacramento, California 95814

HAND DELIVERED

RE: CONFIRMATION OF TELEPHONE ADVICE; FORMAL WRITTEN ADVICE

Dear Ms. Pritchard:

This letter is written to obtain formal written advice confirming telephone advice which you rendered to me on June 28, 1989. The question involves the issue of "earmarked" contributions and commingling under Regulation 18215(c).

FACTS

The California Newspaper Publishers Association ("CNPA") is a membership organization with over 250 dues paying members. It has annual revenues of nearly \$1 million. Approximately \$150,000 of that is "unrelated business income." This money is earned through the sales of advertisements and from various convention activities. CNPA is a non-profit tax-exempt organization; however, because it has "unrelated business income" it must maintain careful books and pays taxes on that income. However, the revenues received by CNPA are all deposited into one bank account.

LANCE H. OLSON BRUCE L. HAGEL LEROY Y. FONG ROBERT E. LEIDIGH

OF COUNSEL

LLOYD G. CONNELLY, Member California State Legislature

CNPA has made contributions out of its "unrelated business income" in past years, although not enough to require filing as a major donor. These contributions have been made from "unrelated business income" funds entirely and have never been treated as coming from its membership dues. This has been done for tax purposes as well, since the membership dues are not to be used for making contributions for fear of jeopardizing the tax exempt status of the dues.

QUESTION

I asked you the following question:

Under the facts as stated and the Willmarth Opinion, 2 FPPC Opinions 130 (No. 75-188; August 3, Jeanne Pritchard June 30, 1989 Page two

1976) is CNPA required to file a statement of organization when it makes \$1,000 or more in contributions in 1989? Or is CNPA only required to file as a major donor committee if and when it makes contributions totalling \$10,000 or more in 1989?

CONCLUSION

You advised that CNPA need not file as a recipient committee (PAC) if it makes \$1,000 or more in contributions. CNPA is contributing from its own funds, not from "earmarked" contributions from its members. Therefore, CNPA would be required to file campaign contribution reports only if and when it makes a total of \$10,000 or more in contributions in a calendar year.

CNPA's circumstances are covered by the <u>Willmarth</u> Opinion, rather than the <u>Macklin</u> Advice Letter, No. A-85-235, because CNPA does not use dues moneys for making its contributions, but instead uses separate funds. The ACLU, in <u>Macklin</u>, did not have "unrelated business income" from which it made the contributions.

I would appreciate your written confirmation of this advice.

Very truly yours,

QLSON, CONNELLY, HAGEL & FONG

ROBERT E. LEIDIGH

cc: Mike Dorais

CNPA

Law Offices of OLSON, CONNELLY, HAGEL & FONG

June 30, 1989

Jan 19 19 19

Jeanne Pritchard, Chief Technical Assistance & Analysis Division FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 428 "J" Street, Suite 800 Sacramento, California 95814

HAND DELIVERED

RE: CONFIRMATION OF TELEPHONE ADVICE; FORMAL WRITTEN ADVICE

Dear Ms. Pritchard:

This letter is written to obtain formal written advice confirming telephone advice which you rendered to me on June 28, 1989. The question involves the issue of "earmarked" contributions and commingling under Regulation 18215(c).

FACTS

The California Newspaper Publishers Association ("CNPA") is a membership organization with over 250 dues paying members. It has annual revenues of nearly \$1 million. Approximately \$150,000 of that is "unrelated business income." This money is earned through the sales of advertisements and from various convention activities. CNPA is a non-profit tax-exempt organization; however, because it has "unrelated business income" it must maintain careful books and pays taxes on that income. However, the revenues received by CNPA are all deposited into one bank account.

CNPA has made contributions out of its "unrelated business income" in past years, although not enough to require filing as a major donor. These contributions have been made from "unrelated business income" funds entirely and have never been treated as coming from its membership dues. This has been done for tax purposes as well, since the membership dues are not to be used for making contributions for fear of jeopardizing the tax exempt status of the dues.

QUESTION

I asked you the following question:

Under the facts as stated and the <u>Willmarth</u> Opinion, 2 FPPC Opinions 130 (No. 75-188; August 3,

LANCE H. OLSON BRUCE J. HAGEL LEROY Y. FONG ROBERT E. LEIDIGH

OF COUNSEL LLOYD G. CONNELLY, Member California State Legislature

300 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, California 95814 TELEPHONE: (916) 442-2952 FAX: (916) 442-1280 Jeanne Pritchard June 30, 1989 Page two

1976) is CNPA required to file a statement of organization when it makes \$1,000 or more in contributions in 1989? Or is CNPA only required to file as a major donor committee if and when it makes contributions totalling \$10,000 or more in 1989?

CONCLUSION

You advised that CNPA need not file as a recipient committee (PAC) if it makes \$1,000 or more in contributions. CNPA is contributing from its own funds, not from "earmarked" contributions from its members. Therefore, CNPA would be required to file campaign contribution reports only if and when it makes a total of \$10,000 or more in contributions in a calendar year.

CNPA's circumstances are covered by the <u>Willmarth</u> Opinion, rather than the <u>Macklin</u> Advice Letter, No. A-85-235, because CNPA does not use dues moneys for making its contributions, but instead uses separate funds. The ACLU, in <u>Macklin</u>, did not have "unrelated business income" from which it made the contributions.

I would appreciate your written confirmation of this advice.

Very truly yours,

QLSON, CONNELLY, HAGEL & FONG

ROBERT E. LEIDIGH

cc: Mike Dorais

CNPA

Jeanne Pritchard June 30, 1989 Page two

1976) is CNPA required to file a statement of organization when it makes \$1,000 or more in contributions in 1989? Or is CNPA only required to file as a major donor committee if and when it makes contributions totalling \$10,000 or more in 1989?

CONCLUSION

You advised that CNPA need not file as a recipient committee (PAC) if it makes \$1,000 or more in contributions. CNPA is contributing from its own funds, not from "earmarked" contributions from its members. Therefore, CNPA would be required to file campaign contribution reports only if and when it makes a total of \$10,000 or more in contributions in a calendar year.

CNPA's circumstances are covered by the <u>Willmarth</u> Opinion, rather than the <u>Macklin</u> Advice Letter, No. A-85-235, because CNPA does not use dues moneys for making its contributions, but instead uses separate funds. The ACLU, in <u>Macklin</u>, did not have "unrelated business income" from which it made the contributions.

I would appreciate your written confirmation of this advice.

Very truly yours,

QLSON, CONNELLY, HAGEL & FONG

ROBERT E. LEIDIGH

cc: Mike Dorais

CNPA

Transmission Report

Date/Time Local ID 1 Local ID 2 10-21-2008 3272026 09:49:15 a.m.

Transmit Header Text Local Name 1 Local Name 2 FPPC LEGAL



This document: Failed (reduced sample and details below)

Document size: 8.5"x11"

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

428 J STREET, SUITE 620 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 PHONE: 1916) 322-5660

FACSIMILE(LEGAL DIVISION): (916) 327-2026

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET						
in Angela Evans	Juanita Lira, Legal Secretary Legal Division (916) 322-7762					
COMPANA	OCTOBER 21, 2008					
ACNI MBI 6 (415) 421-0721	TOTAL KO OF PIGO HAVE LOCK COVER					
415) 421-7555	SENDING RELEASED SECURE					
d. A -89-390	YOU, KIRE OR RENGT NO MILE IS					
Durgent Dior readw	□ M CASE COMMENT □ PELCASE RECYCLE					
c'i) s/cignilesis						
Via facsimile only.						

D. SOUTHER SOUL RECEIVED THE PROPERTY OF CALL THAT AND AND

Total Pages Scanned: 2

Total Pages Confirmed: 0

	No.	dol	Remote Station	Start Time	Duration	Pages	Line	Mode	Job Type	Results	
	001	878	914154217555	09:48:11 a.m. 10-21-2008	00:00:00	0/2	1	- -	HS	FA	Ī

Abbreviations:

HS: Host send HR: Host receive WS: Waiting send PL: Polled local PR: Polled remote MS: Mailbox save MP: Mailbox print CP: Completed

FA: Fail

TU: Terminated by user

TS: Terminated by system G3: GI RP: Report EC: Er

G3: Group 3 EC: Error Correct

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

428 J STREET, SUITE 620 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 PHONE: (916) 322-5660

FACSIMILE (LEGAL DIVISION): (916) 327-2026

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET						
TO. Angela Eva	ans	Juanita Lira, Legal Secretary Legal Division (916) 322-7762				
COMPANY		date: OCT	OBER 21, 2008			
FAX NUMBER: (415) 421-	-0721	TOTAL	NO. OF PAGES INCLUDES (2)	G COVER:		
PHONE NUMBE (415) 421-75		SENDE	R'S REFERENCE NUMBER.			
R10: A -89-390)	YOUR	REFURENCE NUMBER:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
☐ URGENT	☐ FOR REVIEW	☐ PLEASE COMMENT	☐ PLEASE REPLY	☐ PLEASE RECYCLE		
NOTES/ <u>COMMI</u>	INTS:					
Via facsi	imile only.					